

THE STOKES-FISK MURDER.

Eight Day of the Trial and First Opening of the Examination of Witnesses for the Defence.

STOKES UPON THE STAND.

His Version of the Shooting—The Scene on the Stairs—A Very Rigid Cross-Examination.

A Protracted Session—The Case To Be Resumed To-Day.

THE STOLEN GOVERNMENT BONDS SUIT.

Vermilye & Co. and Adams Express Company in the Case—The Government Plaintiff in the Suit—The Defence of the Express Sustained as Against Vermilye & Co.—Important Decision.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge Boardman. The court room was filled to its utmost capacity, as on all the previous days of the trial. But very few of the witnesses for the defence were in attendance, and attachments were asked for and issued to compel their prompt attendance.

The prisoner himself was placed on the stand and gave his version of the whole occurrence. He was, however, constantly interrupted by Mr. Beach, for the prosecution, without causing any great variation of his tale. The entire of the forenoon was taken up with the evidence of Stokes, and the whole of the afternoon, down to the time for adjournment, with his testimony on cross-examination.

Yesterday James Carron, a boarding house keeper, of No. 6 Hamilton street, was called by Commissioner Osborn with having violated the Shipping law by forcibly taking from on board the British bark Cymbeline a trunk belonging to John Symmons, an English sailor, employed in that vessel. Carron gave bail in \$500 for examination. Symmons claims that Carron assaulted him, but that was a matter of which the Commissioner could take no cognizance.

In the United States Circuit Court yesterday Judge Batchelor rendered a decision in the case of the United States vs. W. R. Vermilye & Co. and the Adams Express Company. The question was to determine the ownership of government bonds to the amount of \$5,300, which had been purchased by Vermilye & Co., as they claim in good faith and for a valuable consideration, but which the Adams Express Company alleged were stolen from them while being conveyed in their express from two bank one in Tennessee and the other in Indiana, for redemption by the government. The express company claimed that notice that the bonds had been stolen had been given to Vermilye & Co. The decision of the Judge is in favor of the Adams Express Company as against Vermilye & Co.

In the case of Lizzie Dugan, an infant, Judge Leonard has rendered a decision, in which he considers that neither of the parties seeking the child's custody is fit to have it awarded to her.

THE STOKES TRIAL.

The Testimony of a Witness Deceased.

Upon the convening of the Court of Oyer and Terminer yesterday morning, Mr. Dos Passos, of counsel for the defence, read the testimony of Lawrence Carr, taken before the Coroner's jury. Mr. Carr being deceased. The testimony was to the effect that the witness had driven Stokes, on the day of the shooting, from the Astor House to the Hoffman House, thence to Twenty-third street (where Mrs. Mansfield resided), where Stokes stopped, and did not alight from the coupé; then down to the corner of Fourth street and Broadway, where the prisoner got out and proceeded down Broadway in the direction of the Grand Central Hotel, on the opposite side of the street. Witness was certain there was no carriage before him from the time he left Twenty-third street until Stokes got out.

THE PRISONER TESTIFIES.

Mr. Tremain called Edward S. Stokes to the witness stand, and the prisoner stepped briskly forward.

Q. Mr. Stokes, you are the prisoner in this case? A. I am, sir.

Q. What is your age? A. I am thirty-one years old; was born in Philadelphia, where I lived for twenty years; I came to this city from college and went to live with my uncle, Mr. Clinton Gilbert.

Q. What did you do after that? A. I went into oil business and...

Mr. Beach—Object, Your Honor, to the witness going into a history of his business.

The Court—I am inclined to think, sir, the evidence is admissible.

Q. How long did you continue in that business? A. Until Mr. Fisk removed me from it.

Mr. Beach moved that the answer of the witness be stricken out.

The Court ordered the answer stricken out on request, as improper.

Q. What date was it that you left that business? A. It was about the 4th of January, 1870.

Q. Where was that? A. In this city and in Williamsburg.

Q. When did you become acquainted with Colonel Fisk? A. In July, 1869, I think.

JOSE MANFIELD'S SUIT.

Q. Were you up at Justice Ely's Court on the 6th of January last? A. I was.

Q. Was it in the case where Jose Manfield was complainant and Fisk defendant? A. Yes, sir; and I was a witness for the complainant.

Q. Was Fisk there? A. No, sir; I had not seen him for three weeks before that time.

Q. When did you leave Justice Ely's Court? A. I left there about two o'clock, with John McKean and Colonel Folger; we drove to Delmonico's and had some refreshments there; I left them there and proceeded to see Mr. Rufus F. Andrews, and after that I went to Mr. Francis H. Bixby's office, No. 4 Warren street; then I took a coupé and drove to the Hoffman House for some papers connected with my Court of Appeals case.

Mr. Beach here objected to any testimony relating to that case outside the issue.

Mr. Tremain—We propose to go over the ground of all his acts and movements that day as part of the res gestæ and as showing the intent of the prisoner. If they are going to oppose our right to do this we are prepared to meet them here and now.

The Court—I admit the acts and movements of the prisoner for the day, not, however, as res gestæ.

THE WITNESS CONTINUES.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

THE STOKES TRIAL.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge Boardman. The court room was filled to its utmost capacity, as on all the previous days of the trial. But very few of the witnesses for the defence were in attendance, and attachments were asked for and issued to compel their prompt attendance.

STOKES UPON THE STAND.

His Version of the Shooting—The Scene on the Stairs—A Very Rigid Cross-Examination.

A Protracted Session—The Case To Be Resumed To-Day.

THE STOLEN GOVERNMENT BONDS SUIT.

Vermilye & Co. and Adams Express Company in the Case—The Government Plaintiff in the Suit—The Defence of the Express Sustained as Against Vermilye & Co.—Important Decision.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge Boardman. The court room was filled to its utmost capacity, as on all the previous days of the trial. But very few of the witnesses for the defence were in attendance, and attachments were asked for and issued to compel their prompt attendance.

The prisoner himself was placed on the stand and gave his version of the whole occurrence. He was, however, constantly interrupted by Mr. Beach, for the prosecution, without causing any great variation of his tale. The entire of the forenoon was taken up with the evidence of Stokes, and the whole of the afternoon, down to the time for adjournment, with his testimony on cross-examination.

Yesterday James Carron, a boarding house keeper, of No. 6 Hamilton street, was called by Commissioner Osborn with having violated the Shipping law by forcibly taking from on board the British bark Cymbeline a trunk belonging to John Symmons, an English sailor, employed in that vessel. Carron gave bail in \$500 for examination. Symmons claims that Carron assaulted him, but that was a matter of which the Commissioner could take no cognizance.

In the United States Circuit Court yesterday Judge Batchelor rendered a decision in the case of the United States vs. W. R. Vermilye & Co. and the Adams Express Company. The question was to determine the ownership of government bonds to the amount of \$5,300, which had been purchased by Vermilye & Co., as they claim in good faith and for a valuable consideration, but which the Adams Express Company alleged were stolen from them while being conveyed in their express from two bank one in Tennessee and the other in Indiana, for redemption by the government. The express company claimed that notice that the bonds had been stolen had been given to Vermilye & Co. The decision of the Judge is in favor of the Adams Express Company as against Vermilye & Co.

In the case of Lizzie Dugan, an infant, Judge Leonard has rendered a decision, in which he considers that neither of the parties seeking the child's custody is fit to have it awarded to her.

THE STOKES TRIAL.

The Testimony of a Witness Deceased.

Upon the convening of the Court of Oyer and Terminer yesterday morning, Mr. Dos Passos, of counsel for the defence, read the testimony of Lawrence Carr, taken before the Coroner's jury. Mr. Carr being deceased. The testimony was to the effect that the witness had driven Stokes, on the day of the shooting, from the Astor House to the Hoffman House, thence to Twenty-third street (where Mrs. Mansfield resided), where Stokes stopped, and did not alight from the coupé; then down to the corner of Fourth street and Broadway, where the prisoner got out and proceeded down Broadway in the direction of the Grand Central Hotel, on the opposite side of the street. Witness was certain there was no carriage before him from the time he left Twenty-third street until Stokes got out.

THE PRISONER TESTIFIES.

Mr. Tremain called Edward S. Stokes to the witness stand, and the prisoner stepped briskly forward.

Q. Mr. Stokes, you are the prisoner in this case? A. I am, sir.

Q. What is your age? A. I am thirty-one years old; was born in Philadelphia, where I lived for twenty years; I came to this city from college and went to live with my uncle, Mr. Clinton Gilbert.

Q. What did you do after that? A. I went into oil business and...

Mr. Beach—Object, Your Honor, to the witness going into a history of his business.

The Court—I am inclined to think, sir, the evidence is admissible.

Q. How long did you continue in that business? A. Until Mr. Fisk removed me from it.

Mr. Beach moved that the answer of the witness be stricken out.

The Court ordered the answer stricken out on request, as improper.

Q. What date was it that you left that business? A. It was about the 4th of January, 1870.

Q. Where was that? A. In this city and in Williamsburg.

Q. When did you become acquainted with Colonel Fisk? A. In July, 1869, I think.

JOSE MANFIELD'S SUIT.

Q. Were you up at Justice Ely's Court on the 6th of January last? A. I was.

Q. Was it in the case where Jose Manfield was complainant and Fisk defendant? A. Yes, sir; and I was a witness for the complainant.

Q. Was Fisk there? A. No, sir; I had not seen him for three weeks before that time.

Q. When did you leave Justice Ely's Court? A. I left there about two o'clock, with John McKean and Colonel Folger; we drove to Delmonico's and had some refreshments there; I left them there and proceeded to see Mr. Rufus F. Andrews, and after that I went to Mr. Francis H. Bixby's office, No. 4 Warren street; then I took a coupé and drove to the Hoffman House for some papers connected with my Court of Appeals case.

Mr. Beach here objected to any testimony relating to that case outside the issue.

Mr. Tremain—We propose to go over the ground of all his acts and movements that day as part of the res gestæ and as showing the intent of the prisoner. If they are going to oppose our right to do this we are prepared to meet them here and now.

The Court—I admit the acts and movements of the prisoner for the day, not, however, as res gestæ.

THE WITNESS CONTINUES.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

THE STOKES TRIAL.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge Boardman. The court room was filled to its utmost capacity, as on all the previous days of the trial. But very few of the witnesses for the defence were in attendance, and attachments were asked for and issued to compel their prompt attendance.

STOKES UPON THE STAND.

His Version of the Shooting—The Scene on the Stairs—A Very Rigid Cross-Examination.

A Protracted Session—The Case To Be Resumed To-Day.

THE STOLEN GOVERNMENT BONDS SUIT.

Vermilye & Co. and Adams Express Company in the Case—The Government Plaintiff in the Suit—The Defence of the Express Sustained as Against Vermilye & Co.—Important Decision.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge Boardman. The court room was filled to its utmost capacity, as on all the previous days of the trial. But very few of the witnesses for the defence were in attendance, and attachments were asked for and issued to compel their prompt attendance.

The prisoner himself was placed on the stand and gave his version of the whole occurrence. He was, however, constantly interrupted by Mr. Beach, for the prosecution, without causing any great variation of his tale. The entire of the forenoon was taken up with the evidence of Stokes, and the whole of the afternoon, down to the time for adjournment, with his testimony on cross-examination.

Yesterday James Carron, a boarding house keeper, of No. 6 Hamilton street, was called by Commissioner Osborn with having violated the Shipping law by forcibly taking from on board the British bark Cymbeline a trunk belonging to John Symmons, an English sailor, employed in that vessel. Carron gave bail in \$500 for examination. Symmons claims that Carron assaulted him, but that was a matter of which the Commissioner could take no cognizance.

In the United States Circuit Court yesterday Judge Batchelor rendered a decision in the case of the United States vs. W. R. Vermilye & Co. and the Adams Express Company. The question was to determine the ownership of government bonds to the amount of \$5,300, which had been purchased by Vermilye & Co., as they claim in good faith and for a valuable consideration, but which the Adams Express Company alleged were stolen from them while being conveyed in their express from two bank one in Tennessee and the other in Indiana, for redemption by the government. The express company claimed that notice that the bonds had been stolen had been given to Vermilye & Co. The decision of the Judge is in favor of the Adams Express Company as against Vermilye & Co.

In the case of Lizzie Dugan, an infant, Judge Leonard has rendered a decision, in which he considers that neither of the parties seeking the child's custody is fit to have it awarded to her.

THE STOKES TRIAL.

The Testimony of a Witness Deceased.

Upon the convening of the Court of Oyer and Terminer yesterday morning, Mr. Dos Passos, of counsel for the defence, read the testimony of Lawrence Carr, taken before the Coroner's jury. Mr. Carr being deceased. The testimony was to the effect that the witness had driven Stokes, on the day of the shooting, from the Astor House to the Hoffman House, thence to Twenty-third street (where Mrs. Mansfield resided), where Stokes stopped, and did not alight from the coupé; then down to the corner of Fourth street and Broadway, where the prisoner got out and proceeded down Broadway in the direction of the Grand Central Hotel, on the opposite side of the street. Witness was certain there was no carriage before him from the time he left Twenty-third street until Stokes got out.

THE PRISONER TESTIFIES.

Mr. Tremain called Edward S. Stokes to the witness stand, and the prisoner stepped briskly forward.

Q. Mr. Stokes, you are the prisoner in this case? A. I am, sir.

Q. What is your age? A. I am thirty-one years old; was born in Philadelphia, where I lived for twenty years; I came to this city from college and went to live with my uncle, Mr. Clinton Gilbert.

Q. What did you do after that? A. I went into oil business and...

Mr. Beach—Object, Your Honor, to the witness going into a history of his business.

The Court—I am inclined to think, sir, the evidence is admissible.

Q. How long did you continue in that business? A. Until Mr. Fisk removed me from it.

Mr. Beach moved that the answer of the witness be stricken out.

The Court ordered the answer stricken out on request, as improper.

Q. What date was it that you left that business? A. It was about the 4th of January, 1870.

Q. Where was that? A. In this city and in Williamsburg.

Q. When did you become acquainted with Colonel Fisk? A. In July, 1869, I think.

JOSE MANFIELD'S SUIT.

Q. Were you up at Justice Ely's Court on the 6th of January last? A. I was.

Q. Was it in the case where Jose Manfield was complainant and Fisk defendant? A. Yes, sir; and I was a witness for the complainant.

Q. Was Fisk there? A. No, sir; I had not seen him for three weeks before that time.

Q. When did you leave Justice Ely's Court? A. I left there about two o'clock, with John McKean and Colonel Folger; we drove to Delmonico's and had some refreshments there; I left them there and proceeded to see Mr. Rufus F. Andrews, and after that I went to Mr. Francis H. Bixby's office, No. 4 Warren street; then I took a coupé and drove to the Hoffman House for some papers connected with my Court of Appeals case.

Mr. Beach here objected to any testimony relating to that case outside the issue.

Mr. Tremain—We propose to go over the ground of all his acts and movements that day as part of the res gestæ and as showing the intent of the prisoner. If they are going to oppose our right to do this we are prepared to meet them here and now.

The Court—I admit the acts and movements of the prisoner for the day, not, however, as res gestæ.

THE WITNESS CONTINUES.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

Mr. Beach again interposed an objection and a long and warm argument followed. Mr. Beach and Mr. Tremain sustaining each their views of what was proper.

The Court decided that any declaration going to show the acts of the prisoner was competent.

Witness—After I got those papers I found that a telegram had been sent to me by Mr. Beach, and judges had been returned as not directed properly; I telegraphed a second time to him and he returned on Wednesday.

THE STOKES TRIAL.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge Boardman. The court room was filled to its utmost capacity, as on all the previous days of the trial. But very few of the witnesses for the defence were in attendance, and attachments were asked for and issued to compel their prompt attendance.

STOKES UPON THE STAND.

His Version of the Shooting—The Scene on the Stairs—A Very Rigid Cross-Examination.

A Protracted Session—The Case To Be Resumed To-Day.

THE STOLEN GOVERNMENT BONDS SUIT.

Vermilye & Co. and Adams Express Company in the Case—The Government Plaintiff in the Suit—The Defence of the Express Sustained as Against Vermilye & Co.—Important Decision.

Proceedings in the trial of Edward S. Stokes yesterday before Judge